	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT	FILED BY MC D.C.
distribution . Add the life segment desired year plays with	SOUTHERN DISTR		JUN 2 0 2023
	MIAMI D		ANGELA E. NOBLE
		14131014	CLERK U.S. DIST, CT, S. D. OF FLA MIAMI
	MARIA DIAZ and		PROVIDED TO OKALOOSA CI
	ENRIQUE DIAZ,		JUN 0 9 2023
	Plaintiffs	FOR	MAILING A ED
	,	REC	EIVED BY (4)
	γ5.	Case No.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u>i</u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	U.S. BANK, N.A., AS TRUS	TEE	
	FOR SPECIALTY UNDERWRI	TING	
_6	AND RESIDENTIAL FINAN	CE	
	TRUST MORTEAGE LOAN	SSET-	
•	BACKED CERTIFICATES SE		
	2007-AB1, and AMERICA	4N	
	SECURITY INSURANCE C	oM-	
	PANY,		
	Defendants.		
·			
	COMPLA	TVI	
	Ulversity of Citizens	ship [\$1332], Compen	
	satory & Punitive Do	images; Demand for	
	Diversity of Citizens satory & Punitive Do Jury Tri	al y	
·			,
-			
	1		

I. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS:*/

Plaintiffs filed the following previous lawsuits

Maria Droz, et al., v. U. S. Bank, N.A., Case No. 14-CV20741-BB (So. Dist. Fla.); Maria Dioz, et al., v. Nationstor Mortgage, I.C., Case No. 19-CV-27148-COOKE

(So. Dist. Fla.)

II PARTIES.

Plaintiff: Maria Diaz 743 W. 535 Street Hialeah FL 33012

Plaintiff: Enrique Diaz #06599
Okaloosa Corr. Inst.
3189 Col. Greg Malloy Rd
Crestview FL 32359

Defendant: U.S. Bank, N.A.
202 N. Ceder Ave.
Suite: 1

Owatonna, MN 55060

* In the 1980 = 90 s Plaintiff Enrique Diaz filed several lawsuits in the U.S. District (ourt, Middle Pistrict of Florida but has no information (i.e., case numbers, case styles, etc.)
These suits were settled, one was lost due to Sandin v.
Conner, and its retroactive application, and another at summary judgment which was affirmed by the Eleventh Circuit.

Defendant: American Security Insurance Co. 260 Interstate North Cir. SE Atlanta, 6A 30339

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- I. Plaintiffs Maria Diaz and Enrique Diaz are
 the owners of a residence known as 743 w. 5350
 Street, Hialeah, FL. 33012 of Which U.S. Bank, N.A.,
 is the mortgage holder or trustee and American
 Security Insurance Company (ASIC) the insurer
 at times relevant.
- 2. U.S. Bank, N.A. is a lender head-quartered in Minnesota.
- 3. ASIC is an Insurance Company head-quartered in Georgia.
- 4. In 2017 plaintiffs' residence was damaged by Hurricane Irma. The damage included a hole in the roof and the insurer United Property & Casualty Ins. Co. (UPC) issued a check in the amount of \$35,108.00 to, interalia, replace the roof.
- 5. U.S.Bank, N.A., by and through its servicer Nationstar Mortgage, LLC chose to administer the insurance

proceeds and oversee the repair process. As a result the repair process became a protracted offgir and by May 20, 2019 the roof remained damaged.

- 6. In May 2019, Nationstar Mortgage sent plaintiff a copy of an insurance policy it had somehow nefar-iously taken out knowing that the property was severely damaged.
- on examination of the policy reveals the following Facts:
 - i) It become effective on 2/26/2019 and expired on 2/26/2020; ii) It was sould under the provisions of Master

Policy No: MIP-RCH-00729-00"; iii) It did not cover any personal property, liability, medical, etc., and, iv) It cost \$4,630.00

See: Insurance Policy of MIP, attached as Exhibit #1

- 8. At notime prior to the issuance of the coverage did ASIC inspect the property.
- 1. Plaintiffs refer to it as a policy but in reality it is a certificate that issues from a Master Policy. This is perplexing and suspicious given that plaintiffs' residence is not governed by an association and none of the previous "policies" abtained by U.S. Bank's agents had any similarities and they were actual policies.

	Coop 1/22 av 22271 DDC Document 1 Entered on El CD Docket 06/20/2022 Dogs F of 14
	Case 1:23-cv-22271-DPG Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/20/2023 Page 5 of 14 10. Defendant ASIC advised Plaintiff that she
	was not a party to the covers as and there fore
	was not a party to the coverage and therefore she could not alter the coverage or access any
ċ	documents related to the coverage.
	THE COVERGE.
	11. Plaintiff Maria Diaz called U.S. Bank's agent
	Nationstar and made the same requests set Forth in
	paragraph 9, above.
:	paragraph (7 and c.
,	12. U.S. Bank's agent advised plaintIFF that she
	would have to find herown insurer to cover person-
	al property etc and that they would not give
	al property, etc and that they would not give her any documents other than a copy of the policy.
	13. Plaintiff contacted several insurance compan -
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ies to secure a policy for her residence but was told that the property could not be insured with
	told that the property could not be insured with
	the existing damage.
·	
	it. One insurance company ordered a t-Point Inspection and during the inspection and upon discovering the roof damage, the inspector called the insurer and was told to discontinue the inspect
	Inspection and during the inspection and upon
	discovering the roof damage, the inspector called
	the insurer and was told to discontinue the inspect
	tion,
	<u>-5-</u>

- 15. Plaintiffs submit that the defendants' slenied them access to the documents related to the application For insurance to conceal the Fraud.
- 16. On June 16, 2019 the ceiling in the living room collapsed and Maria Diaz slipped and hurther side requiring a visit to urgent care.
- 17. Plaintiffs filed a claim with ASIC for the collapsed seiling and air condition vents which were covered by the policy but ASIC denied the claim alleging, inter alia, a failure to disclose the roof damage and pre-existing.
- 18. Plaintiffs submit that U.S. Bank's agent Nationstar clearly knew the full extent of the damages to the residence when they fook out the insurance coverage and that ASIC also knew, or should have known by exercising due diligence that the residence was still damaged and that it was fraudulent to take out or issue a policy.
- 19. Plaintiffs submit that there exists a business relationship between the defendants and the agents of U.S. Bank which allows U.S. Bank and its agents to purchase a Master Insurance Policy and then issue certificates therefrom to cover the properties thus conferring insurer related duties and responsibilities to the non-insurer to the prejudice of the consumer.

- 20. Plaintiffs submit that ASIC's rejection of plaintiffs claim constitutes, inter alia, breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing and fraud and that plaintiffs are third party beneficiaries with an insurable interest pursuant to \$ 627.405 Florida Statutes and as such have standing to bring such claims
- 21. Plaintiffs submit that as a result of the well documented and atrocious history of abuse by the defendants and their agents to the rights of borrowers related to lender placed insurance which includes several class action suits punitive damages are warranted.
- 22. Plaintiffs submit that as a result of ASIC's denial of their claim the damages to the residence including the spread of mold, plaintiffs daily exposure to the mold and the atendant consequences of such exposure were exacerbated,
- 23. Plaintiffs submit that the actions of the defendants were intentionally fraudulent designed for monetary gain and that U.S. Banks agent, for whose actions U.S. Bank is liable, were malicious in that It knew the property was not insurable, that It failed to disclose such and that the coverage was ilusory and would never pay out a claim and thus that charging the \$4,630.00 premium to plaintiffs was fraudulent.

24. Plaintiff Maria Diaz continues to be freated by doctors for complications from her continued exposure to mold which mold situation worsened as a result of the consequences of the ceiling collapse and ASIC's failure to honor the claim.

24. Plaintiffs submit that as a result of the defendants actions and inactions as set forth herein plaintiff Enrique Diaz suffered and continues to suffer emotional distress seeing his wife suffer at the hands of the defendants.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

25. Plaintiffs respectfully demand a jury trial on each count and allegation herein.

(First Cause of Action)

26. Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate herein the facts and allegations set forth in paragraphs #1 through #24, and submit that ASIC's failure to accept and honor plaintiffs' claim constitutes a breach of contract as expressed in paragraph #20 and pursuant to Fawkes v. Balboa Ins. 6., 2012 U.S. Dist LEXIS 20715, 2012 WL 527168 (M.D. Fla. February 17, 2012).

(Second Cause of Action)

Z7. Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate here in the facts and allegations set forth in paragraphs #1 through #24, and submit that ASIC's failure to accept and honor plaintiffs' claim constitutes a breach of Implied Covenant of Good faith and fair Dealing under Florida law. Mount Sing! Med. Ctr. of Greater Miami, Inc. v. Heidrick & Struggles, Znc., 329 F. Supp. 2d 1309 (S.D. Fla. 2004).

(Third Cause of Action)

28. Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate herein the facts and allegations set forth in paragraphs #1 through #24 and submit that U.S. Bank and ASIC's taking out and issuing insurance coverage on plain-tiffs' residence and charging them \$4,630.00 as set forth in paragraphs #18-19, and #23 constitute froud which defendants have concealed paragraphs #9-12.

(Fourth Cause of Action)

29. Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate herein the facts and allegations set forth in paragraphs #1

* U.S. Bank at all times acted through its agent Nationstar Mortgage, LLC.

through #24 and submit the ASIC's selling U.S. Bank a Master Insurance Policy (MIP) knowing that U.S. Bank would issue coverage therefrom to thousands of residences within it portfolio, whether they were insurable or not was part of a scheme to defraud borrowers like the Diazes. This concealed scheme constitutes froud and warrants allowance of discovery.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

30. Plaintiffs seek declaratory & injunctive relief, compensatory and punitive damages as follows.

i) Declaratory relief in the form of an order declaring that the actions of the defendants constituted Breach of Contract, Breach of Implied Covenant of 600d faith i Fair Dealing and Fraud:

II) Injunctive relief in the form of an order en-

ii) Injunctive relief in the form of an order enjoining the defendant U.S. Bank to act as a de facto insurance company and issue coverage;

iii) Compensatory damages in the amount of \$51,000 (for the removal of the walls, studs, ceilings that are contaminated with mold and replacement thereof)\$15.000 (for the replacement of Furniture ruined from the collapsed ceiling) \$20,000 (to cover liens/bills related to the collapsed ceiling) and \$150,000 (for the physical, mental and psychological harm and suffering inflicted on plaintiffs for a total of:\$236,000.00; and the \$4,630 cost of the coverage;

000.00; and the \$4,630 cost of the coverage;
iv Punitive damages in the amount of \$10,000,
000 from each defendant;

	V) Filing fees and costs (copying of postage):	
	V) Filing fees and costs (copying & postage); Vi) Any other additional relief that this Honorable. Court deems just and proper.	
<u></u>	Court deems just and proper.	
	21 Plaintiffe out it when and the form	
	31. Plaintiffs submit, under penalty of perjury that the foregoing facts are true and correct.	
	On this 12 day of June 2023 1/1 Dougly	
	Maria Diaz	
	743 W 53 Street	
	Hialeah FL 33012	
	Gimlawfla@ gol. com	_
	Onthis 8th day of June 2023 & =	
	Enrique Diaz #065599	
1	Okaloosa Correctional Inst.	
	3189 Col Greg Malloy Rd	
	Crestview FL 32539	
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	_
	Taralia II a Cara	
	I certify that the foregoing has been turned over to prison officials at Okalowa CI for mailing	
···	m this 9th day of Tune 7002 to Hannahla clack	
	on this 9th day of June 2023 to: Honorable Clerk of Court, 400 N. Miami Avenue, Miami, FL 33128.	
	1s/ Eden	
	Enrique Diaz, affiant	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		_
	-11-	-

- NECLARATIONS

AMERICAN SECURITY INSURANCE COMPANY

PO BOX 50355, ATLANTA, GA 30302

CERTIFICATE NUMBER: MLR07299587947

	e Company		
	ECTIVE TIME EXPIRATION 2:01 am 02/26/20	muster routy no	
NAMED INSURED and Mailing Add NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC ITS SUCCESSORS AND/OR ASSI P.O. BOX 7729 SPRINGFIELD, OH 45501-7729	GNS	For Company Use: Basis: Territory: 0018 Class: Other: FIR SFD 007290000 OTHER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DESCRIBED LOCATION. The pro 743 W 53RD ST HIALEAH, FL 33012	perty covered by this Certificate is at t	he described location unless otherwise stated:	
COVERAGE AND LIMITS OF LICTOR CONTROL	cate. <u>D</u> Windstorm, Hail or Hurrican	e: 2% of the Limit of Liability or \$2,000, whichever is greater.	PREMIUM \$4,630.00
LIMIT OF LIABILITY	<u>Di</u> Windstorm, Hail or Hurrican All Other Perils:	TOTAL PREMIUM EDUCTIBLES e: % of the Limit of Liability or , whichever is greater.	\$4,630.00 <u>PREMIUM</u>
COMMERCIAL PROPERTY: LIMIT OF LIABILITY Building -	Windstorm, Hail or Hurrican	EDUCTIBLES e: % of the Limit of Liability or	·
<u>LIMIT OF LIABILITY</u> Building -	Windstorm, Hail or Hurrican	EDUCTIBLES e: % of the Limit of Liability or , whichever is greater. TOTAL PREMIUM	·
<u>LIMIT OF LIABILITY</u> Building -	Windstorm, Hail or Hurrican All Other Perils:	EDUCTIBLES e: % of the Limit of Liability or , whichever is greater. TOTAL PREMIUM	·

BORROWER - Name and address:

MARIA FERRER 743 W 53RD ST HIALEAH, FL 33012

Loan No.: 0618769376-011D

CLAIMS: 1-800-326-7781 Issue Date: 05/20/2019

ALL OTHER INQUIRIES: 1-866-825-9267

Countersignature (where required)



Case 1:23-cv-22271-DPG Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/20/2023 Page 13 of 14

Pokalossa Correctional File 3189 Col. Grey Malloy Rd Crestriew FL 32539

U.S.M.S.
INSPECTED
BY:_____

CLERK OF COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT 400 N MIAMI AVENUE MIAMI FL 33128

New Filing

իվվիկիկիկիկիկիկի

Case 1:23-cv-22271-DPG Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/20/2023 Page 14 of 14

PROVIDED TO OKALOOSA CI

JUN 0.9 2023

FOR MAILING_ RECEIVED BY